

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,130	03/26/2004	Christopher Vincent Decker	20,108A	8829
23556 7	590 11/01/2006		INER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			WAGGONER, TIMOTHY R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		3651	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/810,130	DECKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy R. Waggoner	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 At 2a At 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/30/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claims 1-23 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the dispenser disclosed by Stephens does not disclose that a "top wall" has a second entrance therethrough or that the wall is external. However, Stephens does disclose a second member with a top side and a bottom side and the topside can be considered a top wall which does have openings therethrough and that said wall is external to the storage cavity. For the foregoing reasons claims 1-20 stand rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,5,6,8,16,19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stephens USPN 4,964,526.

Stephens discloses a dispenser comprising:

(Re claim 1) "a first member" (28 figure 1). "a second member pivotally connected to said first member by a first hinge ... capable of housing a plurality of

Art Unit: 3651

articles ... a top wall with a second entrance formed therethrough" (70 figure 1). "a third member secured to said first member ... a second hinge aligned along a common axis with said first hinge said third member capable of pivoting on said second hinge to cover said second entrance" (45 figure 1).

(Re claim 4) "rectangular configuration with a longitudinal axis a transverse axis and a vertical axis, said dispenser having a first longitudinal edge spaced apart and oppositely aligned to a second longitudinal edge, and said first hing is located adjacent to said first longitudinal edge" (28 figure 3).

(Re claim 5) "second entrance is an aperture having an elliptical configuration with a major axis aligned parallel to said transverse axis" (74 figure 1).

(Re claim 6) "first and second hinges are coaxially aligned along said first longitudinal edge" (34 figure 3).

(Re claim 8) "second and third members pivot on said first longitudinal edge and move away from said second longitudinal edge" (figure 6).

(Re claim 16) "a first member" (28 figure 1). "a second member pivotally connected to said first member by a first hinge ... capable of housing a plurality of articles ... a top exterior wall with a second entrance formed therethrough" (70 figure 1). "a third member secured to said first member ... a second hinge coaxially aligned with said first hinge said third member capable of pivoting on said second hinge to cover said second entrance" (45 figure 1).

"entrance having a maximum dimension which ranges from 70% to about 100%" ("expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation

are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim", MPEP 2115)

(Re claim 19) "maximum dimensions which ranges from between about 75% to about 95% of the width of said sheet-like article" ("expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim", MPEP 2115).

(Re claim 20) "said dispenser has a longitudinal axis, a transverse axis and a vertical axis, said dispenser having a first longitudinal edge spaced apart and oppositely aligned to a second longitudinal edge (28 figure 3) and said first and second hinges being coaxially aligned along said first longitudinal edge (34 figure 3)".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,3,10 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephens 4,964,526 in view of Julius USPN 5,542,567.

(Re claims 2,3 and 10) Stephens discloses the dispenser as claimed in claim 1.

Stephens does not disclose the first and second members being integrally formed or being molded.

Art Unit: 3651

Julius teaches the integral forming of a first and second member and the two of

them being molded.

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the hinge mechanism of

Stephens to allow for the containers being molded as one integral unit as taught by

Julius because it is faster to produce.

(Re Claim 12) "second entrance is an aperture having an elliptical configuration

with a major axis aligned parallel to said transverse axis" (74 figure 1).

(Re claims 13-15) "expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during

an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the

apparatus claim", MPEP 2115.

Claims 7,9,11,17 and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Stephens USPN 4,964,526 and Stephens as modified by Julius 5,543,567. With

respect to claims 1,10 and 16, Stephens and Stephens as modified by Julius does not

disclose specific values for height and aperture opening dimensions. However, one of

ordinary skill in the art is expected to routinely experiment with the parameters,

especially when the specifics are not disclosed, so as to ascertain the optimum or

workable ranges for a particular use. Accordingly, it would have been obvious through

routine experimentation and optimization, for one of ordinary skill in the art to arrive at

the height and aperture-opening dimensions as claimed.

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Stephens USPN 4,964,526 in view of Margulies USPN 4,462,507.

Art Unit: 3651

Stephens discloses the dispenser as claimed in claim 16.

Stephens does not disclose the lid being movable beyond 180,225 or 270 degrees.

Margulies teaches a lid that has a living hinge which allows for the lid to be moved beyond 180,225 or 270 degrees.

It would be obvious to modify the hinge of Stephens to allow for the hinge to move over 270 degrees in view of the teachings of Margulies because it allows for unobstructed access to the articles being stored.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,130 Page 7

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy R. Waggoner whose telephone number is (571) 272-8204. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8am-2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TRW

SUPERVISORY PITENT EXAMINER